

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,667	04/05/2007	Nathan Ravi	111828-00137	7732
27557 7590 09/30/2010 BLANK ROME ILP WATERGATE 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON. DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/574,667	RAVI, NATHAN				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Carlos A. Azpuru	1617				

Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Carlos A. Azpuru	1617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Anv	ORTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY Chelver IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA raisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (3) (AVTHS from the mailing date of the communication, of the communication of the communicat	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		- action is non-final.					
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Diamonia	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-104 is/are pending in the application						
=\	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 1-104 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
0/23	olamico) <u>1 701</u> are subject to rectriction and/or	olocion roquii omoni.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	nt(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				
2) H Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)	Faper No(s)/Mail Da					

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Application/Control Number: 10/574,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, 38-50, 69-86 and 87-104, drawn to a method of making.

Group II, claim(s) 21-37, drawn to a hydrogel.

Group III, claim(s) 51-68, drawn to an intraocular lens.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The hydrogel and intraocular lens is not required to be a reversible hydri=ogel as per method of claims 69-86.

If Group I elected:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: Please select ONE

a) claims 1-20; b) claims 38-50; c) claims 69-86 and d) claim 87-104

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Art Unit: 1617

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: None

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,667 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Because of the complexity of the election/restriction, a telephone call was made to Charles R. Wolfe, Jr. on 09/28/2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,667

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/574,667

Art Unit: 1617

require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

<u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fereydoun G. Saijadi can be reached on (571) 272-3311. The fax phone Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617 Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

caz